UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/525,565	02/25/2005	Werner Brennwald	P28215	5001	
40401 HERSHKOVI	7590 11/21/200 ΓΖ & ASSOCIATES	7	EXAMINER		
2845 DUKE STREET			RINEHART,	RINEHART, KENNETH	
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3749		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

w

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Interview Summary	10/525,565	BRENNWALD E	TAL.		
merview cummary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kenneth B. Rinehart	3749			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):					
(1) Kenneth B. Rinehart.	(3)				
(2) <u>Bob Carpenter</u> .	(4)	·			
Date of Interview: <u>16 November 2007</u> .					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2)∐ applicant's representative	e]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: 1.					
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Cross</u> .					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>see attached</u> .	nature of what was agreed to	if an agreement	was		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no c allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w				
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INT FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTE requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	been filed, APP ODAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO		
			·		
		;			
•					
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	ature, if required			

Application/Control Number: 10/525,565 Page 2

Art Unit: 3749

The applicant argued that per the specification and claim language it is possible for the first number of grate blocks to be moved independently of the second number of grate blocks. The applicant did not believe that Cross disclosed this limitation. The examiner disagreed as claims in a pending application should be given their broadest reasonable interpretation. The examiner did not believe that it was unreasonable for Cross to read on this claim limitation. The applicant indicated that the claims would be amended to more clearly claim the invention. The examiner stated he would consider the amendments.

KENNETH RINEHA!"
PRIMARY EXAMINER